

FILED

August 02, 2022 02:52 PM

ST-2020-CV-00155

TAMARA CHARLES
CLERK OF THE COURT

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS / ST. JOHN**

GHISLAINE MAXWELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

ESTATE OF JEFFREY E. EPSTEIN; DARREN
K. INDYKE, in his capacity as Executor of
the ESTATE OF JEFFREY E. EPSTEIN;
RICHARD D. KAHN, in his capacity as
Executor of the ESTATE OF JEFFREY E.
EPSTEIN; and NES, LLC, a New York
Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

CASE NO. ST-2020-CV-00155

Complex Litigation Division

Action for Indemnification

ORDER

THIS MATTER came before the undersigned for a brief status conference. After discussing the status of the case, the undersigned directed counsel to meet and confer and jointly file a notice on or before Friday, August 5, 2022, stating whether the parties would like to present oral argument on the Defendants' motion to dismiss or on the motion to intervene filed by the Government of the Virgin Islands. The parties were also to notify the Court if there was any consensus regarding the Defendants' statement on the record that they would like to file a response to the motion to intervene. Pursuant to Rule 85 of the Virgin Islands Rules of Civil Procedure, the undersigned's directives are hereby reduced to writing. The parties are **DIRECTED to SERVE and FILE a NOTICE jointly on or before Friday, August 5, 2022**, as to whether oral argument is requested on the motion to dismiss and/or the motion to intervene as well as whether there is any agreement among the parties regarding the Defendants' request to submit a response to the motion to intervene.

In addition to reducing the above to writing, the undersigned notes a concern that arose after the status conference had concluded, namely that several attorneys appeared remotely for the status conference who have not filed notices of appearance

Order

Maxwell v. Estate of Epstein, et al., ST-2020-CV-00155

Page 2 of 2

in this case. So that the record is clear and the Clerk's Office has accurate information as to current counsel of record, counsel are directed to review the docket of this case, which is available via public access, and either file a notice of appearance for those attorney (including any admitted *pro hac vice*) who are still of record but have not formally appeared on this case by filing a notice, or a notice of withdrawal for those attorneys (if any) who previously appeared but have subsequently withdrawn.

DONE and SO ORDERED this 2nd day of August, 2022.



JOSEPH T. GASPER

Staff Master

ATTEST:

TAMARA CHARLES

Clerk of the Court

By: Paula Clayton

Court Clerk III

Date: 8-2-2022